

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,945	12/30/2003	Hongyuan Chen	884A.0034.U1(US)	8614
29683 H A P P INGTO	7590 06/27/2007 N & SMITH, PC		EXAM	IINER
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE		ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN	
SHELTON, CT	1 06484-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	٠.
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		۷ ا				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/749,945	CHEN, HONGYUAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Bo Hui A. Zhu	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		i				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	<u>0 December 2003</u> .	•				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	'				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06/01/2004</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	· · · · · ·	n received in this National Stage				
application from the International But	, ,,	t received				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	received.				
Attachment/e)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

A claim drawn to a "carrier embodying a computer program" does not constitute statutory subject matter such as a process, machine, article of manufacture or composition of matter. In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded or embodied with a computer program product of code, or instructions, is a computer element which, when executed by a computer, defines structural and functional interrelationships between the instructions and the computer to permit the instructions functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Please see pages 30 and 53 of the Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section

Art Unit: 2616

351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1 - 16, 19 - 20 and 22 - 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Larsson et al. (US 6,751,200).

## (1) with regard to claim 1 and 20:

Larsson et al. discloses a method and system, comprising: delivering a packet from a first device in a first piconet of a scatternet (any node shown in Fig. 2 can be a first device, for example, M2; M2 is a slave device in piconet 1 of the scatternet shown in Fig. 2; a piconet is a star-topology sub-network; and a scatternet is a distributed low power radio frequency network) to a destination device in a second piconet of the scatternet (any node shown in Fig. 2 that is in a piconet that is not occupied by the first device can be the destination device, for example node 205; 205 is a slave device in piconet 3) comprising: creating a direct radio communications link between the first device and the destination device; and transmitting the packet via the direct radio communications link (Fig. 2 shows a direct radio communication link can be created between M2 and 205).

#### (2) with regard to claim 2:

Larsson et al. further discloses the destination device is joined to the first piconet (205 is also a slave device of piconet 1).

(3) with regard to claims 3, 22, 31 and 33:

Larsson et al. discloses all of the subject matter as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 and further discloses creates a third piconet between the first piconet and the second piconet (column 5, lines 1 – 10; column 6, lines 16 – 20; in the case where the

Art Unit: 2616

first device is node M8 of piconet 9, and the destination node is a slave of piconet 7, a direct link between the slave of piconet 9 and the slave of piconet 7 can be established by establishing a third piconet, piconet 8, as shown in Fig. 2).

# (4) with regard to claims 4 and 32:

Larsson et al. discloses that the first device operates as Master of the third piconet (M8 is the Master of the piconet 8 by default; column 7, lines 40 - 44).

## (5) with regard to claims 5 and 34:

Larsson et al. discloses that the scatternet has a topology defined at initiation of the scatternet and creating the direct radio communications link adjusts the topology of the scatternet (a newly created piconet and the links created by the new piconet, will inevitably adjust the topology of the scatternet since a new piconet and new links will change the topology of the scatternet).

#### (6) with regard to claims 6 and 35:

Larsson et al. discloses the direct radio communications link creates a short-circuit in the network topology (the link created by piconet 8 is a short-circuit between the two nodes it connects to).

# (7) with regard to claims 7 and 36:

Larsson et al. discloses a piconet is a star-topology low power radio frequency network comprising a Master as a central node and one or more Slaves as dependent nodes, each of which has a radio communications link to the Master, and a scatternet is a distributed low power radio frequency network comprising a plurality of piconets that

Art Unit: 2616

are interconnected by radio communication links (inherent to Bluetooth scatternet and piconet; column 1, lines 25 – 63)

(8) with regard to claim 8:

Larsson et al. discloses the first device and/or the destination device are mobile (column 1, lines 25 - 40).

(9) with regard to claim 9:

Larsson et al. further discloses determining whether the creation of a direct radio communications link between the first device and the destination device is possible (column 7, lines 21 - 25).

(10) with regard to claim 10:

Larsson et al. discloses the packet comprises an address of the destination device (inherent because any packet destined to a destination must contain the address information of the destination in order to know where to deliver the packet) and the step of determining uses the identity of the destination device (column 7, lines 21 – 25; because it has to determine if the destination can be reached at all, the identify of the destination must be used).

(11) with regard to claim 11:

Larsson et al. further discloses determining if the destination device is within radio communication range of the first device (column 2, lines 48 - 51; column 7, lines 26 - 30).

(12) with regard to claim 12:

Application/Control Number: 10/749,945

Art Unit: 2616

Larsson et al. further discloses that the first device maintains a list of devices within radio communication range (column 2, lines 65 – 68).

(13) with regard to claim 13:

Larsson et al. further discloses the list comprises, for each device within communication range, an address and a clock offset (column 2, lines 65 – 68; BD ADDR is the address and the internal clock value is the clock offset).

(14) with regard to claim 14:

Larsson et al. further discloses that the list is maintained using the Bluetooth Inquiry procedure (column 2, lines 45-68).

(15) with regard to claim 15:

Larsson et al. further discloses the first device determining whether the destination device is included in the list (column 3, lines 3 - 6).

(16) with regard to claim 16:

Larsson et al. discloses that the comparison occurs within the Bluetooth Link layer (the INQUIRY and PAGE procedures are inherently performed within the Bluetooth Link layer).

(17) with regard to claim 19:

Larsson et al. further discloses that the packet is a routing request (ROUTE request message, column 5, line 61).

(18) with regard to claims 23 and 29:

Larsson et al. discloses delivering a packet from a first device in a first piconet of a scatternet (any node shown in Fig. 2 can be a first device, for example, M2; M2 is a

Art Unit: 2616

slave device in piconet 1 of the scatternet shown in Fig. 2) to a destination device in a second piconet of the scatternet (any node shown in Fig. 2 that is in a piconet that is not occupied by the first device can be the destination device, for example node 205; 205 is a slave device in piconet 3) comprising: receiving the packet at the first device (column 5, line 44; a deivce in a piconet will receive and send data packets or routing packets such as REQUEST/REPLY messages, column 4, lines 22 - 33); determining whether the creation of a direct radio communications link between the first device and the destination device is possible; and if it is not possible, forwarding the packet within the scatternet (Fig. 7)

# (19) with regard to claim 24

Larsson et al. discloses adding an address of the first device to the packet before forwarding it (column 3, line 52; having IP over the Bluetooth link layer would require Bluetooth devices to add their addresses to the packets they send).

#### (20) with regard to claim 25:

Larsson et al. discloses the received packet is transferred from a network layer to a link layer (IP would be the network layer and the Bluetooth link layer would be the link layer), if possible, the link layer creates a direct radio communications link with the destination device and, if not possible, the link layer forwards the received packet (the Bluetooth link layer will try to establish a direct link and if that's not possible, forward packets over the existing piconets (Fig. 7).

# (21) with regard to claim 26:

Art Unit: 2616

Larsson et al. discloses the received packet is buffered in a network layer (column 8, lines 50 – 52; since IP is used over the Bluetooth link layer, the IP layer must buffer the received packet) and a notification comprising the address of the destination device is transferred to a link layer (route requests), if possible, the link layer creates a direct radio communications link with the destination device (establish new piconet) and, if not possible, replies to the network layer which transfers the received packet to the link layer for forwarding (send packets through existing piconets).

(22) with regard to claims 27 and 30:

Larsson et al. further discloses if the creation of a direct radio communications link between the first device and the destination device is possible, creating a direct radio communications link between the first device and the destination device (720, 725 on Fig. 7).

(23) with regard to claim 28:

Larsson et al. discloses that if the creation of a direct radio communications link between the first device and the destination device is possible, transmitting a reply packet to a source of the received route request packet (REPLY message, column 4 lines 32 – 33).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2616

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsson et al. (US 6,751,200) in view of Isumi (US 5,815,816).
  - (1) with regard to claims 17 and 18:

Larsson et al. discloses all of the subject matter as discussed above but fails to disclose that the direct radio communications link is temporary; and the direct radio communications link is released after a predetermined period of inactivity.

Isumi teaches that temporarily establishing a connection and releasing the connection after a predetermined period of inactivity in the connection (column 13, line 64 – column 14, line 3).

It would have been desirable to temporarily establishing a connection and releasing the connection after a predetermined period of inactivity in the connection because it would make system resource utilization more efficient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method as taught by Isumi in the system of Larsson et al.

- 7. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsson et al. (US 6,751,200) in view of Langberg et al. (US 5,852,630).
  - (1) with regard to claim 21:

Larsson et al. discloses all of the subject matter as discussed in the rejection of claim 1. Larsson et al. however does not teach using a computer readable medium stored thereon a computer program for performing the method of claim 1.

Art Unit: 2616

Langberg et al. teaches a method for a transceiver warm start activation procedure can be implemented in software stored in a computer-readable medium. The computer-readable medium is an electronic, magnetic, optical, or other physical device or means that can contain or store a computer program for use by or in connection with a computer-related system or method (column 3, lines 51-65). Using a computer readable medium with program instruction code would be desirable because it would perform the same function of using hardware but offer the advantage of less expense, adaptability and flexibility. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the limitation as taught by Langberg et al. into the system of Larsson et al. so as to reduce cost and improve the adaptability and flexibility of the logic simulation.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bo Hui A. Zhu whose telephone number is (571)270-1086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571)272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,945

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BZ June 21, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 11